



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6418-2020

Date of Decision : **09.01.2025**

VIRENDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for respondent no.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioners being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of their grievance, yet they have sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.

2. Therefore, the instant petition is **dismissed**, however, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to recourse the alternative available statutory remedy(ies) before the appropriate authority/forum concerned for redressal of their grievance.

3. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

January 09, 2025

dharamvir

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No