



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11045-2025
Date of decision :28.07.2025**

SURESH KUMAR JHAMB

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamaldeep Sachdeva, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Amit Thakur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.207 dated 28.06.2020 registered under Sections 420/120-B IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation, Act at Police Station City Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 28.02.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 28.09.2024 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 28.02.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala and as per the report dated 24.04.2025 submitted to this



CRM-M-11045-2025

-2-

Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (CrL) 543***”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.207 dated 28.06.2020 registered under Sections 420/120-B IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation, Act at Police Station City Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

28.07.2025
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No