

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

206

RSA-3114-1994
Date of Decision:20.01.2024

SURAJ PARKASH

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Plaintiff-appellant is in a second appeal before this Court challenging the concurrent finding of fact recorded by both the Courts below.
2. As per office report, counsel for the appellant has been informed. However, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Appeal is pending for the last 29 years. Applicant/appellant must have retired from service. The dispute arose during his service career. It appears that he is not interested in pursuing the matter.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

20.01.2024
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No