

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC:155019



**RSA-3100-1994 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :11.11.2025**

BHAGAT SINGH **... APPELLANT**
V/S
BALWINDER KAUR AND OTHERS **... RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH
GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate with
Mr. Gurdeep Singh Bidhan, Advocate for the appellant.

* * *

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On September 19, 2025, learned counsel for the appellant had submitted that he has no instructions from his client in the present matter. As there was no representation on behalf of the respondents also, consequently, notice was ordered to be issued to the parties.
2. As per the office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report 'incomplete address without house number and landmark' and notice issued to the respondents has been received back unserved with the report 'left the given address'.
3. Today, again learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite repeated efforts, he has not been able to establish any contact with his client.
4. In light of the above, the present appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the appellant to seek its revival, in case, any cogent cause of action survives.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

11.11.2025

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

Janki

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No