



318 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2088-2024

Date of Decision: 25.02.2025

Yoginder @ Parmod

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Tanvir Singh Grewal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the orders dated 04.03.2022 and 29.11.2023 passed by respondent No.1 vide which the case of the petitioner for premature release was rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the case of the petitioner was initiated by the State, however, vide impugned order dated 29.11.2023, it has been deferred to two years.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the period of two years would expire in November, 2025. He further submits that as and when the said period would be completed, they would proceed with the case of the petitioner for his premature release.

3. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds no ground to interfere in the impugned orders, hence, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the State to proceed with the matter of petitioner for his premature release as and when he earns eligibility in view of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021 with SLP (Crl.) No.529 of 2021** decided on 18.02.2025

25.02.2025

sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No