

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:120200



(1950) CR NO.4423-2003(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:04.09.2025
Harpal SinghPetitioner

VERSUS

Mukhtiar Singh and othersRespondents

CORAM HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI

Present None for the petitioner.
Mr. Joginder Singla, Advocate,
for respondents no.1 and 2.

RAMESH KUMARI, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India impugning the order dated 18.10.2002, passed by Civil Judge (Sr.Divn) Sangrur and order dated 30.07.2003, passed by Addl. Distt. Judge, Sangrur, vide which the application of the respondents/plaintiffs for temporary injunction was allowed.
2. As per office report, Mr. Rajesh Garg, Advocate and Ms. Nidhi Garg, Advocate for the petitioner have been informed for today, however, there is no representation on their behalf. Similar was the position on the last date of hearing.
3. In view of the above, it seems that the petitioner or his counsel are not interested in pursuing with the present revision petition. As such, the present Civil Revision stands dismissed for non prosecution.
4. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

04.09.2025 (RAMESH KUMARI)
mamta JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No