



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3403-2001

Date of Decision: **06.11.2025**

BHAGAT RAM

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER PAYMENT OF
GRATUITY ACT, 1972 AND OTHERS**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-workman sought modification of orders dated 29.11.2000 and 02.07.1997 (Annexures P-9 and P-7 respectively), passed by respondents no.1 and 2 respectively, and for directing them to pay the interest on the amount of gratuity from the date the same became payable till the date it was paid.

2. This Court vide order dated 16.10.2025, had passed the hereinafter extracted order:-

“At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that unfortunately, the petitioner has since expired, during the pendency of the instant writ petition. Therefore, he seeks an adjournment to bring on record legal representative(s) of the deceased-petitioner, so as to continue with the *lis*.

Adjourned to 06.11.2025.”

3. Today, neither anyone has caused appearance on behalf of the deceased-petitioner nor any efforts have been made to bring on record his legal heirs/representative, so as to continue with the *lis*.

4. In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option, except to dismiss the instant petition for want of non-prosecution.

5. **Ordered** accordingly.

6. However, liberty is reserved to the legal representatives/heirs of the deceased petitioner, to revive the instant petition by filing an apt motion, in case the grievance still survives.

7. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

November 06, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No