

**CWP-3362-2001 (O&M)****-1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

**CWP-3362-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.09.2025**

Sidh Raj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - None for the petitioner

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of notice/order dated 28.08.2000 (Annexure P-2) whereby he was ordered to retire at the age of 55 years.
2. The respondent by impugned notice/order has retired the petitioner on attaining the age of 55 years. The said order has been passed in exercise of power conferred by Rule 3.26(d)(ii) of Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume-I, Part-I as applicable to State of Haryana.
3. The impugned order was passed in August' 2000. Had the impugned order not been passed, the petitioner would have worked for three more years. He was part of Haryana Police Force and his service was pensionable, thus, he must have received pension which is 50% of last drawn salary. He had not worked during said period. Thus, at this stage, no interference is warranted.

**CWP-3362-2001 (O&M)****-2-**

4. In the backdrop, this Court is of the considered opinion that present petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

5. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. He is at liberty to move an appropriate application within three months, if cause survives.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.09.2025*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No