



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-2275-1994(O&M)

Date of decision : 28.08.2025

The State of Punjab and another

... Appellants

Versus

Amarjit Kaur

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.
for the appellants.

Mr.Paras Khindri, Advocate and
Mr.Krishan Kumar Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The respondent-plaintiff had filed suit for declaration in the year 1990 to the effect that the orders dated 24.03.1990 and 06.09.1990 discharging the plaintiff from service was not in accordance with law and a further prayer for reinstatement was made. The trial Court vide order dated 22.07.1993 had decreed the suit of the plaintiff and had set aside the impugned orders. The appeal filed by the State of Punjab was also dismissed.

2. A perusal of the paper book would show that there is no interim



order in favour of the appellants-State of Punjab.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that subsequent to the passing of the judgment of the trial Court and Ist Appellate Court, the respondent-plaintiff has been working for the last 30 years without any complaint and has even been promoted and has thus submitted that the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and be disposed of as such.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent and in view of the subsequent facts, the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the same in case any cause still survives.

5. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 28, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No