



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

106

CWP-5727-2025

Date of Decision: 01.03.2025

BHARPUR SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Arun Luthra, Advocate for
Ms. Rajan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking directions to the respondents to step up/upgrade the pay of the petitioner at par with the pay of his junior in terms of judgments dated 03.01.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed in W.P. (C) No. 7840 of 2012 and dated 19.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) passed in W.P. (C) 6838 of 2016.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this juncture, the petitioner would be satisfied in case respondent No.2-Principal Chief Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, Northern Railway, New Delhi is directed to decide the legal notice dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-4) and the grievance espoused by the petitioner

**CWP-5727-2025**

-2-

therein by passing a reasoned and speaking order thereupon in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Taking into a consideration the above as well as in view of the order, which this Court proposes to pass, issuance of notice of motion is dispensed with at this stage.

4. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.2-Principal Chief Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, Northern Railway, New Delhi, is directed to consider and decide the legal notice dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-4) and to pass a reasoned and speaking order thereupon in accordance with law preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

MARCH 01, 2025*Vishal Sharma***(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No