

CRWP-1826-20251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 132CRWP-1826-2025

Date of Decision:21.02.2025

Sukhjeet Kaur and another...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Kanojia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Sukhjeet Kaur	Vimal Singh
Age (in years)	28	34
Date of marriage	17.02.2025	
Rite	Sikh religious	
Represented on	19.02.2025	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, District Ambala	
Family members arrayed as	Respondent No.4- Ram Singh, father of petitioner No.1. Respondent No.5- Baljit Kaur, mother of petitioner No.1 Respondent No.6- Parminder Singh, brother of petitioner No.1. Respondent No.7- Narinder Singh, brother-in-law of petitioner No.1.	



CRWP-1826-2025

2

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. He further submits that petitioner No.2 though was earlier married to one Suman Khokhar but by way of decree of divorce by mutual consent(Annexure P-3), both of them separated, whereas it is the first marriage of petitioner No.1. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 19.02.2025(Annexure P-6), to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Ambala, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Ambala, to look into the representation dated 19.02.2025 (Annexure P-6), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

21.02.2025
dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No