



CRWP-1819-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP-1819-2025

Date of decision: 21st February, 2025

Charanjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Ashu Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of an appropriate order or direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 10 and take action on their representation-cum-letter (Annexure P-7).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on before the Senior Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar, Punjab and SHO, Police Station, Mukandpur, Tehsil Banga (Annexure P-7) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the aforesaid representation filed

**CRWP-1819-2025****-2-**

by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to decide the aforesaid representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

21st February, 2025

Parveen Sharma

1. *Whether speaking/ reasoned*
2. *Whether reportable*

: *Yes / No*
: *Yes / No*