



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRWP-1816-2025
Decided on : 21.02.2025

Renu and another . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Akshit Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Renu	Pankaj
Age (in years)	About 24 years	About 27 years
Date of marriage	18.02.2025	
Rite	Hindu Rites	
Represented on	18.02.2025	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 7	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is



the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. At this stage, Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 & 5 (parents of petitioner No.1) and files his *Vakalatnama* in Court, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

6. Counsel for respondents No.4 & 5 submits that parents of petitioner No.1 wish to meet her once to talk peacefully and make her understand.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to look into the representation dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

8. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or



criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

9. Besides, respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, acknowledges the request of respondents No.4 & 5, the parents of petitioner No.1, in the present petition. If the situation permits, respondent No.2 agrees to facilitate a meeting between petitioner No.1 and respondents No.4 & 5, provided that no untoward situation is created by them.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 21, 2025
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No