

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

123

2025:PHHC:048368-DB



**CWP-5100-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 08.04.2025.**

Tara Paul

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab  
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

\*\*\*

**SUDHIR SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Petition herein is for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus, for directing the respondent Nos.1 to 3 to allot another/alternate plot in Saheed Sewa Singh Thikriwala Nagar at Patiala in lieu of acquisition of plot No.7 & 9/1 Sunder Nagar Colony, Rajpura Road, Patiala which was acquired by the Improvement Trust i.e., respondent No.3 and further directing the respondent Nos.1 to 3 to pay appropriate compensation alongwith interest to the petitioner in lieu of acquisition of plot No.7 & 9/1 Sunder Nagar Colony, Rajpura Road, Patiala.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the pending representation (Annexure P-5) is decided by respondent No.2, which has not been adverted to by the respondents, till date.

3. On the other hand, on advance service of copy of petition, learned State counsel appears and opposes issuance of notice of motion. He submits that grievance of the petitioner will be looked into by respondent No.2 and it shall take decision either way, on pending representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner.

4. Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate, appears and files memo of appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3. The same is taken on record.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider pending representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate reasoned order, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 06 weeks from the date of receipt of copy/ production of this order.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUDHIR SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.04.2025**

*jyoti3*

|                            |   |         |
|----------------------------|---|---------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/ No |
| Whether reportable?        | : | Yes/ No |