



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRWP-1893-2025
Decided on: 25.02.2025**


Jaspreet Kaur and another**.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH****Present:** Mr. S.P. Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Through instant petition, petitioners namely; Jaspreet Kaur and Navdeep Singh have sought issuance of directions to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent Police, District Moga), to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Baghapurana, Moga, who threatened the petitioners to involve them in a false case under NDPS Act at the behest of respondent No.4, who is real brother of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that respondent No.6-Hardeep Kaur (sister of petitioner No.1) is wife of petitioner No.2-Navdeep Singh and petitioner No.1 is staying in the house of petitioner No.2 with the consent of parents of petitioner No.2. There is another sister of petitioner namely; Satvir Kaur (not party in the present petition), who is unable to conceive and there is constant pressure of respondent no.4

**CRWP-1893-2025****-2-**

(brother of petitioner No.1) upon petitioner No.1 to have relations with respondent no.5, who is married to Satvir Kaur. Infact, reason of pressuring petitioner No.1 is for giving birth to a child for her sister Satvir Kaur.

3. The nature of protection which is being sought seems to be highly imaginary. On being asked by the Court, it is informed that petitioner No.1 is an educated person and who is expected to understand the position of law that in case, she is forced by any person to have physical relations against her own wish, it would be open for her to get registered a criminal case against such person, under the relevant provisions of law.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and examining the facts deeply, *prima facie* it appears that through the instant petition both the petitioners [brother-in-law (jija) and sister-in-law (sali)] are seeking approval of staying together under the garb of the expected protection order from this Court. Thus, petition being wholly misconceived, is hereby dismissed.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**