



204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2514-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.08.2025

Raj Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

HUDA Panchkula and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Ravindra Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the impugned order passed by the Superintending Engineer at the behest of respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the respondents at the very outset submits that the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition has been substantially redressed and the order dated 28.07.2004 is placed on record as Mark 'X' vide which the petitioner has been regularized.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the present petition is disposed of in terms of the decision taken by the competent authority regularizing the petitioner.

In view of the discussion above, the present writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

29.08.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No