



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-10146-2025

Date of Decision: 28.04.2025

SHARWAN KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.405, dated 05.04.2022, under Section 17C, 27-A & 29 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Hisar, District Hisar (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 21.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-



“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations levelled by the prosecution, co-accused namely Ghevar Ram and Rakesh were arrested by the police on 05.04.2022 on the basis of a secret information and 8.250 Kgs of opium was recovered from the vehicle, in which both of them were travelling. Subsequently, it has been alleged that the co-accused, Ghevar Ram had suffered a disclosure statement and named the petitioner as one of the supplier in the present case. He further contends that except the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence against him and the admissibility of such statement is yet to be adjudicated by the Trial Court, during the course of trial. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on the orders (Annexures P-3 to P-6) passed by this Court, whereby, the concession of bail has already been granted to co-accused namely Rajesh Kumar @ Bhal Singh, Karan Singh, Pardeep Dahiya and Kuldeep. He further contends that no other criminal case was ever registered against the petitioner and his custodial interrogation may not be required.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.



5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 21.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

28.04.2025.

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)

vipin

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No