



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-1775-2025

Date of decision: 20.02.2025

Raj Preet and another

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Ms.Divya Narula, Advocate,
for the petitioners. (Through VC).

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-5) sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, District Bathinda.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.



5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of “**Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another**”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, District Bathinda, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

February 20, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No