



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CRM-M-10196-2025

Date of decision: 21<sup>st</sup> February, 2025

Tushar Singh @ Tushar

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-**

The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of order dated 06.06.2024 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Sirsa in case arising out of FIR No. 513 dated 28.12.2018 registered under Sections 21 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS') at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa titled as 'Tushar Singh Vs. State of Haryana' whereby the bail of the petitioner has been cancelled and bonds were forfeited to the State. Now warrants to arrest have been issued against the petitioner for 05.03.2025.

2. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that his absence on 06.06.2024 was not intentional but due to the reason that he was confined in judicial custody in some other case. He was released only on 30.10.2024. He is ready to join the proceedings before the learned trial Court. It is, therefore, urged that the impugned order may be set aside.



CRM-M-10196-2025

-2-

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at considerable length and have gone through the record.
4. On a perusal of the copies of orders as placed on record, it is revealed that the fact that the petitioner was confined in jail in connection with some other case was within the notice of the learned trial Court. The petitioner could not appear before the trial Court due to the aforementioned fact. As such, the absence of the petitioner cannot be stated to be *malafide*. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of and it is ordered that petitioner shall be admitted on bail, subject to his surrender before the learned trial Court on or before the next date of hearing and further subject to his furnishing fresh personal and surety bonds to its satisfaction.
5. Since the main petition has been disposed of, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[MANISHA BATRA]  
JUDGE

21<sup>st</sup> February, 2025

Parveen Sharma

- |                               |   |          |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes / No |