



CRM-M-10283-2025 -1-

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10283-2025

Date of Decision:- 22.07.2025

NITIN

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Amit Khatkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.429 dated 03.09.2022 under Sections 376 (2) (N), 377 and 506 of IPC registered at Police Station Sector-27, Sonipat, Haryana.

2. Facts of the case are prosecutrix 'M' gave her written complaint that she came in contact with Nitin through facebook. They are meeting with each other for the last 3 years. He committed rape upon her by giving allurements of marriage. He used to take her in café in Gandhi Chowk, Sonipat where there were some private rooms. Whenever she refused, he committed forcible sex with her against her wishes. He also forced her to consume certain pills by saying that it was good for her health. In-fact, said pills were abortion pills. He also used to give her intoxicants substance and



committed rape with her. She was under threat. Finally the matter was reported to the police.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that allegations levelled against him are false. It was consensual relationship. Allegations are false and without any basis. He was arrested in this case on 03.09.2022 and since then he is behind the bars. Trial in this case may take long time. Statement of alleged victim has been recorded as PW-1 (Annexure P-5). He will abide by the terms and conditions of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail application may be allowed.

4. Bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing State. Detailed status report has been filed. It is pointed out that on completion of investigation, challan was presented on 31.10.2022. Charges were framed on 31.01.2023 and till date three prosecution witnesses have been examined. It is argued that prosecutrix has confirmed the allegations. There are serious allegations against petitioner, therefore, regular bail application filed by petitioner deserves dismissal.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. As per facts narrated by the prosecutrix she remained in contact with the accused for three years and finally FIR is lodged on 03.09.2022. After completion of investigation, challan was presented on 31.10.2022. Charges were framed on 31.01.2023 and till date three prosecution witnesses have been examined including the testimony of prosecutrix as PW1 (Annexure P-5). Petitioner is behind the bars since 03.09.2022. Trial in this case may take

**CRM-M-10283-2025 -3-**

long time. Since the statement of prosecutrix has been recorded, therefore, there is no question of influencing the witness. Therefore, considering the aforesaid factual position, without commenting on the merits of the case, regular bail application filed by the petitioner is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge, concerned.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

22.07.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No