



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9907-2025
Date of decision: 02.04.2025

GURMEET
.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA
....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
343	11.06.2022	364-A, 382, 384, 386, 392, 397, 120-B IPC and 25 of Arms Act.	Pinjore, District Panchkula

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 10.03.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. During the course of hearing on 10.03.2025, following order had been passed: -



“ SI Yadwinder Singh, Investigating Officer, is present in Court.

2. Status report dated 07.03.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalka, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Mr. Ankur Jain, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. He submits that the complainant has no objection in grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Even otherwise, after hearing the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, it transpires that only role attributed to the petitioner is that of conducting recce without any specific overt act attributed to him in the occurrence.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within ten days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.

7. List on 02.04.2025.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from S.I. Yadwinder Singh informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has opposed the bail.

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation



consequent to the order dated 10.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 10.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.04.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |