

2025:PHHC:022540



120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-704-2023 (O&M)
Decided on:-17.02.2025

Pushpa Devi and another

....Petitioners..

VS.

Sh. Brij Inder Mohan and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Sheena Banchta, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and3.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly submits that the possession of the property in question was handed over to them in pursuance of warrants of possession issued by the Executing Court, however, they undertake to return the possession in favour of the petitioners within 10 days from today and purge the contempt, if any.

2. In view of the aforesaid stand taken by the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that she does not intend to press the present petition.

3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of based on an undertaking extended by respondents for handing over of possession

of the property in question to the petitioners in the presence of Tehsildar concerned, within 10 days from today.

- 4. Dismissed as not pressed. Rule stands discharged.
- 5. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in such eventuality, the erring concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.
- 6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

17.02.2025
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No