



**CRM-M-9828-2025 &
CRM-M-63-2025**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Reserved on: 28th February, 2025

Pronounced on: 4th March, 2025

1. CRM-M-9828-2025
Reshma Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-63-2025

Amarjit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
(in CRM-M-9828-2025).

Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
(in CRM-M-63-2025).

Ms. Swati Batra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab and
Ms. Himani Arora, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J :-

This common order shall dispose of above mentioned two petitions for grant of regular bail as they are similar in nature and have arisen out of the same FIR, details of which are given as follows:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
28	08.04.2024	Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar	379(B), 341, 148 and 149 of IPC (Sections 307, 325, 326, 120-B and 201 of IPC added later on)

2. Brief facts of the case relevant for the purpose of disposal of these petitions are that the aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of



**CRM-M-9828-2025 &
CRM-M-63-2025**

statement recorded by the complainant Jagjit Kumar alleging therein that on 07.04.2024, at about 7:15 PM, he was going towards his village, after performing his duty. He was riding his bike and when he reached within the vicinity of village Haria, suddenly two youths riding on a motor bike came from the back side. Their faces were covered with cloth. They were armed with sickle and baseball. They intercepted the complainant and opened an assault upon him. One of them, struck blows on the back side of head of the complainant with sickle. The complainant fell down. Blood started oozing out of his injury. In the meanwhile, five more youths came on two motor bikes while carrying weapons. They also started assaulting the complainant by extending injuries with their respective weapons which they were carrying in their hands and then after snatching his motor bike and throwing him in a nearby field, they fled away. Some passersby identified the victim, gave intimation to his family members, who reached there and took him to hospital, wherein he was undergoing treatment.

3. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. During the course of investigation, the complainant recorded his supplementary statement on 04.09.2024, alleging that the accused Amarjit was having illicit relationship with his wife Reshma and both of them had hatched a conspiracy with the co-accused to eliminate him and in pursuance thereof the co-accused had inflicted injuries on his person. On the basis of this statement, the petitioners were nominated as accused. The petitioner Reshma was arrested on 04.09.2024 and petitioner- Amarjit was arrested on 05.09.2024. They suffered disclosure statements admitting their involvement in the commission of subject offences. The petitioner-Amarjit got recovered



**CRM-M-9828-2025 &
CRM-M-63-2025**

the motorcycle used by him at the time of the occurrence. The co-accused were also arrested. Investigation stands concluded. Both the petitioners moved applications for grant of regular bail, which were dismissed by the learned trial Court.

4. It has been argued by learned counsel for the petitioners that they were not named in the FIR. A false and concocted story has subsequently been planted upon them. They do not have any illicit relationship with each other. They are in custody since long. Trial would likely to take time. Their further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. Therefore, it is urged that they deserve to be released on bail.

5. Status reports have been filed by respondent-State in both petitions. Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab assisted by learned counsel for the complainant vehemently argued that there are serious and specific allegations against the petitioners who had hatched a conspiracy with each other to kill the complainant and in pursuance of that conspiracy, they had joined the co-accused with them and then petitioner-Amarjit and co-accused had opened a murderous assault upon the victim-complainant and caused serious injuries to him. Certain photographs of the victim have been placed on record and shown to this Court and it is argued that the injuries sustained by the victim were quite serious in nature. As many as 14 injuries have been found on the person of the complainant and some of these injuries were declared to be dangerous to life. The complainant is yet to be examined. There are chances of petitioners' absconding or intimidating the other witnesses, if extended benefit of bail. Therefore, it is argued that the petitions do not deserve to be allowed.



**CRM-M-9828-2025 &
CRM-M-63-2025**

6. Learned counsel for the parties have been heard at considerable length and have gone through the record carefully.

7. The petitioners who are alleged to be having illicit relations with each other, are further alleged to have hatched a conspiracy with the co-accused to kill the victim-complainant. In pursuance of that criminal conspiracy, they are alleged to have joined the co-accused and as on 07.04.2024, the petitioner Amarjit Singh along with the co-accused is alleged to have assaulted the victim thereby causing him as many as 14 injuries. These injuries were quite serious in nature and the photographs of the victim as well as his medico legal report which have been placed on record *prima facie* affirm this fact. The victim is yet to be examined. The contention that the petitioners may intimidate the complainant and other witnesses or may abscond, cannot be stated to be unfounded at this stage. Keeping in view the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the petitioner and the above discussed facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case lest the same prejudice the trial, I am of the considered opinion of the considered opinion that the petitioners do not deserve to be released on bail at this stage. Therefore, the petitions are dismissed.

8. Since the main petitions have been dismissed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

9. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

**[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE**

4th March, 2025

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned
2. Whether reportable

: Yes / No
: Yes / No