



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4784-2025

Date of Decision : 20.02.2025

PUSHPA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Veena Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shalini Attri, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for respondent no.1-UOI.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The grievance, which propelled the petitioner to approach this Court, by filing the instant petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking *mandamus* upon the respondents, is that the representation dated 17.09.2024, (Annexure P-4) for grant of additional compensation in view of the instructions/letter dated 09.11.2016 (Annexure P-5), by the Central Government, has not been decided till date.

2. On an advance notice, Ms. Shalini Attri, Sr. Panel Counsel, has caused appearance on behalf of respondent no.1-UOI, whereas, Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, caused appearance on behalf of respondent no.2.

3. Learned counsel for respondent no.1-UOI, submits that she has no objection, in case, a *mandamus* is passed upon the authority concerned, for deciding the representation (*supra*) in a time bound manner, specifically, in view of instructions/letter dated 09.11.2016 (Annexure P-4), issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs.

4. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for respondent no.1-UOI, this Court deems it fit and appropriate to dispose of the instant petition, by passing a *mandamus* upon respondent no.1-UOI, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4), within a period of 02 months from today, by passing a speaking order.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

February 20, 2025

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No