

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(107-1)

RSA-793-1992 AND IOIN-RSA-793-1992

Date of decision:- 02.04.2025

Joginder Singh and others ...Appellants

Versus

Battan Singh (since deceased) through LRS and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. Sandeep Jain, Advocate, with
Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate
for LR's of respondent No.1.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel represented the parties have pleaded that no instructions, as is reflected from order dated 05.11.2024, passed by this Court.
2. As per office report, appellants No.1, 2 and 4, have expired. Although, appellant No.3, has been served, but there is no representation on his behalf. Some of the respondents have also reported to be died.
3. It seems that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.
4. Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

02.04.2025
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No