



133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4831-2025**Date of Decision:20.02.2025****RAJEEV KAWATRA**

..... Petitioner

*Versus***PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 and filed her power of attorney. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

2. Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that respondent No.1-Punjab and Haryana High Court may be deleted from the array of respondents. Ordered accordingly.

3. The petitioner is assailing interim orders passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa whereby Court has ordered to attach his salary.

4. The impugned orders have been passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge while adjudicating trial arising out of FIR under NDPS Act. The trial Court is still seized of the matter. The



petitioner is claiming that he is working round the clock and beyond his capacity. On account of shortage of staff and infrastructure and he cannot submit report as required by trial Courts. He cannot be punished for the lapse on the part of Government.

5. On being confronted with the fact that impugned orders have been passed while adjudicating criminal cases which are still pending and petitioner has remedy to either approach same Court for recalling the order or file petition under Section 482 and 528 of Cr.P.C. Mr. Goyal expressed his inability to advance reason for filing petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

6. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.02.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No