



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10115-2025
Date of decision: 21.04.2025

NAVEEN AND ORS ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 03.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
566	26.12.2023	148, 149, 323, 506 of IPC	Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 03.02.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, vide report dated 20.03.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt



CRM-M-10115-2025

2

of costs of Rs. 25,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 25.02.2025.

3. Mr. Manvender Rathee, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 5 and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. He does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 566 dated 26.12.2023 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

21.04.2025

kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |