



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

327

CWP-9518-2002 (O&M)**Date of Decision: 16.09.2025**

RAJINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 12.06.2002 (Annexure P-8) whereby he was reverted from the rank of Inspector to Sub Inspector.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was issued show cause notice dated 28.02.2002 alleging that he has accepted bribe while investigating a matter. The said show cause notice was withdrawn vide order dated 16.05.2002. As show cause notice was withdrawn so there was no reason to issue second show cause notice proposing his reversion in terms of Rule 13.18 of Punjab Police Rules, 1934 (as applicable to State of Haryana) (in short 'PPR').

3. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner was promoted from the rank of Inspector to Sub Inspector. His promotion was

temporary. He was under probation. During probation he could be reverted without holding inquiry. He was served show cause notice as his act and conduct were not found satisfactory.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record of the case.

5. From the perusal of record, it is evident that first show cause notice had no bearing with second show cause notice. First show cause notice was based upon departmental enquiry, whereas, second show cause notice was issued for reversion on the ground of petitioner's act and conduct. He was on probation and as per Rule 13.18 of PPR during probation he could be reverted to his original rank. The authorities did not find his act and conduct satisfactory, thus, reverted him to his original rank. There is nothing on record disclosing that there was mala fide intention or ill will on the part of the authorities.

6. In the wake of above factual position, this Court is of the considered opinion that the instant petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.09.2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No