



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**CRWP No.1715 of 2025
Date of decision: 20.02.2025**

MAHIPAL BHATTI

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No. 5 & 6 and to take action on their representation dated 27.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 27.01.2025 before respondents No.2 and 4 raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Commissioner of Police, Faridabad to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

**CRWP No.1715 of 2025**

-2-

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 27.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner within a period one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect his life and liberty.

20.02.2025**Jyoti-IV****(MANISHA BATRA)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No