

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

RSA-1324-1989 (O&M)

Date of Decision:06.09.2017

Assa Ram and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Joginder Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK.

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 and 3.

Mr.Harpal Singh Sirohi, Advocate,
for respondent No.16.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.(Oral)

When the case came up for hearing on 16.05.2017, following order was passed by this Court:-

“As reported by the Registry, respondents No.11 and 12 stand served through son; respondent No.5 stands personally served; respondent No.8 had refused to accept the notice and was served by way of affixation and respondent No.19 was served through wife but none of them is present and they are proceeded against ex parte; respondents No.6, 7, 10, 13, 17, 18, 20 and 24 are reported to be dead and respondents No.4, 9, 14, 15 & 21 to 23 could not be served for want of correct address.

Appellants to file correct address of the respondents and also to file requisite application, if any, for impleading the legal representatives of the deceased-respondents.

On doing so, notice to the unserved respondents be

issued for 01.08.2017.”

Thereafter, vide order dated 01.08.2017, one last opportunity was granted to the learned counsel for the appellants to do the needful by passing the following order:-

“Learned counsel appears and seeks last opportunity of 4 weeks to give the correct addresses of unserved respondents.

Allowed as prayed for. Last opportunity of 4 weeks is granted to the learned counsel for the appellants to provide the correct addresses of unserved respondents.

Adjourned to 06.09.2017.

Photocopy of this order be placed on file of the connected case.”

As per office report dated 04.09.2017, learned counsel for the appellants did not take any steps. Neither the correct addresses of many respondents were furnished nor any application for bringing on record the legal representatives of many respondents was moved. Neither anybody has come present to press the appeal, nor any request for pass over has been made. It seems that neither the appellants nor their counsel are interested in pursuing the present appeal any further.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

September 06, 2017
seema

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No