



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

COCP-803-2019**Date of decision : 18.08.2025****Bimla Devi and another****..... Petitioners****versus****Varinder Kaur Thandi and another****..... Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Ms. Mansi, Advocate for
Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for the respondents.

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. On 31.07.2024, following order was passed:-

Learned State counsel submits that the Punjab State Social Welfare Advisory Board has now been taken over by the State Government, alongwith assets and liabilities, and the affairs of the said Board are now being governed by the Department of Social Security and Women and Child Development, Punjab. Accordingly, learned State counsel seeks time to comply with the order of the Writ Court.

The cost of Rs.10,000/-, as imposed upon the respondents vide order dated 07.05.2024, is waived of.

Adjourned to 10.12.2024.

However, it is clarified that no further adjournment shall be granted.

It is further ordered that if the order is not complied with, the Director, Department of Social Security and Women and Child Development, Punjab, shall remain personally present before this Court on the next date of hearing, to receive further orders in the contempt proceedings.”



2. Reply by way of affidavit of Dr. Shena Aggarwal, IAS, Director, Department of Social Security and Women & Child Development, Punjab has been filed on 13/14.1.2025 stating as under:-

“5. That as per prayer of the petitioner, all payment has been made to the petitioner & no dues/ liability on account of prayer of the petitioner is pending on behalf of the Punjab State Social Welfare Board as well as the Department of Social Security and Women & Child Development, Punjab.

6. That in the light of the above, it is humbly submitted that the compliance of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court had ready been initiated and there is no willful disobedience. Therefore, the contempt petition filed by the petitioner is liable to be dismissed.”

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that she is not sure with respect to compliance regarding petitioner No.14 to 16, but admits that so far as respondent No.1 to 13 are concerned, the amount stands deposited in their account. She prays for liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition in case grievance of any of the petitioner survives.

4. Keeping in view the stand taken by the respondents on affidavit before this Court, no further order is required to be passed. However, liberty as prayed for by the counsel for the petitioner is granted.

5. Disposed off, accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

18.08.2025

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No