



289-CRM-M-9629-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9629-2025
Date of decision: 12.03.2025

Rajiv @ Raju

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu AAG, Haryana

Mr. Nikhil Vats, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS) seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties vide compromise dated 14.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
574	06.09.2021	285, 427, 506 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act (389 IPC deleted and 386 IPC added lateron)	Samalkha, District Panipat



289-CRM-M-9629-2025

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 14.02.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Samalkha (Panipat) vide report dated 05.03.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It is also reported that cost, so imposed, vide order dated 20.02.2025 has also been deposited. Receipt dated 03.03.2025 is also enclosed herewith.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Samalkha, (Panipat), **Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant-respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 574 dated 06.09.2021 under Section 285, 427, 506 IPC and 25 of



289-CRM-M-9629-2025

the Arms Act (389 IPC deleted and 386 IPC added lateron) registered at Samalkha, District Panipat (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

12.03.2025
preeti

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |