



CRWP-1750-2025

-1-

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1750-2025

Date of Decision: February 21, 2025

Man Maninder and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Ranvijay Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and their relatives, as petitioners are residing in live-in-relationship. It is further prayed that directions be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, dated 22.01.2025, moved before respondent No.2, i.e. Superintendent of Police, Kaithal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.2 was earlier got married by her parents against her wishes while she was minor. He further submits that now both the petitioners are major and are living together against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5. The petitioners have submitted a representation, dated 22.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2.

**CRWP-1750-2025****-2-**

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal, Haryana to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in-relationship.

February 21, 2025

meenuss

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?**
- 2. Whether reportable ?**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**