

2025:PHHC:098129



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

256

CRWP-1793-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 01.08.2025

Deepak Chhabra ...Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab and others ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Vivek Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuing direction to respondent No. 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the hands of respondent No. 7 and also to decide the representations/complaints submitted by him.
2. Upon notice, status report has been filed by the respondent-State. It is submitted therein and learned State counsel has argued that a written complaint dated 23.08.2023 was filed by the petitioner before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana alleging therein that he had threat perception from respondent No. 7-Jatinder Chawla, who is real brother of petitioner's step mother and had caused him financial loss to the tune of Rs. 20 crores. The inquiry in the said complaint was being conducted by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana (North). However, in the meantime, a separate complaint with similar allegations was moved by the wife of the

2025:PHHC:098129



petitioner before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. Thereafter, the Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab, vide letter dated 19.07.2024, had directed the DSP, State Crime, Phase-IV, SAS Nagar to inquire into the matter and submit a report. In pursuance of the said order, the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, vide letter dated 27.07.2024, had forwarded the record pertaining to the complaints filed by the petitioner and his wife to DSP, State Crime-IV, Mohali for further action. It is further submitted that during the course of inquiry, the petitioner failed to provide any corroborating material or evidence to substantiate his allegations that respondent No. 7 had or has been posing any threat to his life and liberty or that of any of his family members.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the aforesaid facts or demonstrate before this Court as to how he is having any threat from respondent No. 7.

4. In view thereof, the present petition is disposed of as no further orders are called for. However, it shall be open for the petitioner to approach the local police in case he feels any threat to his life and liberty in future.

01.08.2025  
*Waseem Ansari*

(MANISHA BATRA)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*