



deed dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them.

3. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

4. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad vide report dated 04.03.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It is also reported that cost, so imposed, vide order dated 20.02.2025 has also been deposited, receipt dated 01.03.2025 is enclosed herewith.

5. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

6. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant-respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

7. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 235 dated 18.05.2019 under Sections 120-B, 420, 506 IPC {406, 467, 468, 471, 201 IPC added lateron} registered at Faridabad Kotwali,

Faridabad, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

12.03.2025
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |