



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104-2)

RSA No.1933 of 1992 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.03.2025

Kamlesh Gangal and another

...Appellants

Versus

Bansi (deceased) through LRs and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Rahul Aggarwal, Advocate
for Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants.

2. On 14.05.2024, the following order was passed:-

***“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants
in RSA no. 1933-1992, submits that he has no instructions
from the appellants, in the instant matter.***

***Let Court notice be issued to appellants in RSA No.
1933-1992 for 25.07.2024.***

***A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the
connected case.”***

3. Thereafter, the Registry had reported that notice issued to the appellants had not been received back served or otherwise since the appellants were residents of Delhi. Subsequent report also indicates the same.

4. That being so, the present case is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal in case they are interested in pursuing the same.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 10, 2025
Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No