



CRM-M-9385-2025 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

**CRM-M-9385-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 03.04.2025**

Kashmiri Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0857 dated 28.11.2024 (P-1), under Sections 115, 127(2), 140(3), 191(2), 324(4) and 351(3) read with Section 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS')[Section 140(4) of BNS added later on}, registered at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar.

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 02.04.2025 of Mr. Kishori Lal, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Agroha, District Hisar on behalf of respondent has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the other side.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused formed an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of common object of the said assembly, caused injuries to *de facto* complainant-Mukesh Kumar.



CRM-M-9385-2025 2

4. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 18.02.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from quarter concerned and submits that his custodial interrogation is not required.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

7. It transpires that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 18.02.2025 and the order reads as under:-

*“Contends, inter alia, that petitioner is running a jewellery shop and an amount of Rs. 25 lakh is yet to be recovered from de facto-complainant; but under the garb of present FIR, he is pressurizing the petitioner as well as his family members to settle the dispute.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, learned AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file written response in the matter.*

*Posted for 03.04.2025.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.”*

8. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

9. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 18.02.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

10. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

11. The above observations be not construed as an expression of



**CRM-M-9385-2025      3**

opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

12.            It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**03.04.2025**  
Rajeev (rvs)

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |