



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

753

CWP-12011-2004 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

Maya Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Anamika Shoeran, Advocate for
Mr. Mukund Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Shruti, AAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the order dated 19/18.06.2004, Annexure P-17, vide which new condition had been imposed with regard to the regularisation.
2. Learned State counsel refers to para 2 of the preliminary objection of the written statement dated 16.03.2005 filed by respondent Nos.1 to 3 to state that the petitioner did not fulfill the requisite qualifications and as such her claim was rejected vide order dated 21.07.2004, Annexure R-1 by the Committee constituted for the said purpose, which had not been challenged by the petitioner, even though this petition was filed on 30.07.2004.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite best efforts she has not been able to establish contact with the client.
4. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case *lis* still survives.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

22.04.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No