



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

289

**CRM-M No.10591 of 2025
Date of decision: 08.04.2025**

JASHANPREET SINGH AND OTHERS**.... Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present : Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Himani Arora, A.A.G, Punjab.
Mr. Neeraj Kalair, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has requested for addition offence under Section 201 of IPC in the head note as well as prayer clause of the main petition.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents have submitted that they have no objection if the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioners is allowed.
3. Accordingly, on oral request, made by learned Counsel for the petitioner, Registry is directed to add Section 201 of IPC in the head note as well as prayer clause of the main petition
4. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short-'BNSS') for quashing of FIR No.41 dated 23.03.2024 registered under Sections 323, 341, 427, 379, 149, 149 and 506 of IPC (Section 325 and Section 201 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 28.05.2024(Annexure P-2).
5. This Court vide order dated 25.02.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

**CRM-M No.10591 of 2025**

-2-

6. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurdaspur and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 21.03.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

7. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

8. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

9. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and 41 dated 23.03.2024 registered under Sections 323, 341, 427, 379, 149, 149 and 506 of IPC (Section 325 and Section 201 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 28.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) are quashed qua the petitioners.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

08.04.2025/ Jyoti-IV

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No