



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRWP No.1663 OF 2025**Date of decision: 17.02.2025****PRADEEP SINGH****.... Petitioner**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present : Mr. R. Kartikeya and Mr. Kartik Patel, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General with
Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent No.4-UOI.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 to 5 to decide his representation dated 08.12.2024 (Annexure P-7).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has filed an representation on 08.12.2024 before respondents No. 2 to 5 raising his grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3- Commissioner



CRWP No.1663 OF 2025

-2-

of Police, Panchkula to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.
- 5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.3- Commissioner of Police, Panchkula to decide the aforesaid representation dated 08.12.2024 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner within a period of one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide him adequate security in order to protect his life and liberty.

17.02.2025
Jyoti-IV

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No