



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CWP-5248-2020

Date of decision: 21.01.2025

OM PARKASH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to not replace the petitioners with the daily wager or with similarly placed employee in the absence of regular employee.

Reply on behalf of respondents had been filed wherein it was averred that the petitioner had been engaged through a Contractor and that there was no employer-employee relationship between the petitioner and the answering respondent. It is also averred that similar controversy has already been considered by this Court in *CWP-17342 of 2022* decided on 16.08.2022 titled as "*Satish Kumar & others versus State of Haryana and others*"; *Nishan Singh and others versus State of Punjab and others*"; *Anmol Garg & another versus State of Punjab and others*" bearing CWP-29655 of 2018 decided on 28.11.2018;

**CWP-5248-2020****-2-**

“Vikas versus State of Haryana and others” bearing CWP-19762 of 2018 decided on 11.12.2019 and other cases. It is further averred that the similarity claimed by the petitioners with CWP-10310 of 2016 is misplaced since the petitioners therein had not been engaged with the Contractors.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners and none has chosen to enter appearance since 22.09.2022. It seems that the petitioners are no more interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JANUARY 21, 2025

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No