

2025:PHHC:086978



160 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-913-2025 (O&M)

Decided on:-16.07.2025

Indian Bank

....Petitioner..

vs.

Harish Chander, Tehsildar-cum-Executive
Magistrate Alewa, Jind and anr.

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms.Jasleen Kaur, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Mr.Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition, prayer has been made for initiation of contempt proceedings against respondents on account of alleged willful non-compliance of order dated 14.05.2024 passed by this Court in CWP-24620-2023, the operative part thereof is extracted hereunder:-

“2. *Learned State counsel on instructions reiterates that possession of property situated at Alewa has been delivered to the petitioner-Bank on 29.01.2024 and possession of property situated at Jind was delivered way back on 28.03.2018 to the then Manager of Allahabad Bank namely Mr. Satyapal and so far as property situated at Safidon is concerned, notices were issued for 08.05.2024 but none for the petitioner-Bank had turned up.*

3. *Learned counsel for petitioner-Bank has stated that Bank had no notice for possession to be handed over on*

08.05.2024.

4. *Learned counsel for State denies the same and submits that because of the General Elections in the State, it would not be possible to undertake the proceedings again immediately but necessary action shall be taken up by 30.07.2024 with due intimation to the officials concerned of the petitioner-Bank.*

5. *In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with the direction that needful be done and necessary action be taken by 30.07.2024, as stated above, in accordance with law, subject to order(s), if any, by court(s) of competent jurisdiction.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the possession of the properties situated at Alewa and Jind was delivered to the petitioner on 29.01.2024 and 28.03.2018 respectively, however, the same was forcibly taken back and thus, there was no compliance made by the respondents to the order dated 14.05.2024 passed by the Writ Court. It was also submitted that the respondents even filed incorrect affidavits before this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel informs that the only direction issued by the Writ Court was to take possession of the two properties situated at Safidon, which was duly complied with on 13.09.2024 and 24.09.2024 and thus, the present petition was required to be dismissed.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book.

5. A reading of the order dated 14.05.2024 passed by the Writ Court clearly shows that the only direction issued by the Writ Court was regarding properties situated at Safidon, of which the possession was duly handed over to the petitioner-bank on 13.09.2024 and 24.09.2024.

6. In view thereof, no cause survives in the present petition, as

such, the same is disposed of. Rule stands discharged.

6.1 However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail all its appropriate remedies, in accordance with law qua any other cause.

7. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

16.07.2025
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No