



210 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9203-2025

Date of decision: 10.07.2025

MEHAKDEEP SINGH BRAR

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. S.S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.30 dated 23.12.2024, Police Station Punjab State Cyber Crime, District SAS Nagar, Mohali registered under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(2) of BNS and Sections 66(c)/66(d) of Information Technology Act, 2000.

2. On 25.03.2025, following order was passed:

“Heard.

It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Sunil Chauhan on the allegation that he had transferred some amount in the account of the petitioner.

3. *He further contends that there is nothing on record to show that the petitioner had ever de-frauded the complainant in any manner. He contends that the aforesaid amount was deposited in the account of the petitioner by accused Sunil Chauhan without the knowledge of the petitioner and the petitioner is even ready to deposit the amount of Rs.2,61,000/- so received in his account in Court to show his bona fide.*

4. *Be it the case, without commenting on the merits,*



the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within 02 weeks from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer and subject to his depositing FDR in the amount of Rs.2,61,000/- favoring Chief Judicial Magistrate, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali which will be kept in safe custody till further orders. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

- 5. *List on 23.04.2025.*
- 6. *Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court along with record on that date.”*

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Jujhar Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 25.03.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel, the order dated 25.03.2025, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023 (*Erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*)

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

July 10, 2025
manisha

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No