



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9370-2025
Date of decision: 21.03.2025

Navneet and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepak Kundu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case having FIR No.51 dated 24.08.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340, 61 of BNS, 2023 (Section 241 of BNS, 2023 added later on) at Police Station Cyber Crime Rohtak, District Rohtak.

2. Reply by way of an affidavit of YVR Sashi Sekhar, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Rohtak filed on behalf of respondent No1-State is taken on record. Copy thereof is already supplied to counsel for the petitioner.

3. Counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners *inter alia* submits that the FIR in this case was registered against unknown persons, who committed online fraud against the complainant, who is today present in Court. It is further submitted that the petitioners are incarcerated since September 2024 and are having no criminal history and after completion of



investigation, police has presented challan but after framing of charges no witness has been examined on behalf of the prosecution till date. Further now parties have effected compromise vide Annexure P-3. That in the given circumstances, detention of the petitioner in judicial custody for any longer period is not going to serve any purpose. So, prayer is made that petitioners be enlarged on regular bail.

4. The present petition is resisted by the State counsel, who submits that during investigation the name of the petitioners surfaced and accordingly they were arrested in this case and one mobile phone and Rs.30000/- were recovered from petitioner No.1 Navneet while one another mobile phone and Rs.40000/- were recovered from petitioner No.2 Subhash. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that both the petitioners are in custody since 21.09.2024 and that police has presented challan and charges are also framed but the trial is at its initial stage.

5. Counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that now the entire dispute has been settled vide Annexure P-3 and the amount in question is already repaid by the accused persons including the present petitioners and the complainant, who is present today in Court is having no objection if the present petition is allowed and petitioners are released on regular bail.

6. I have considered the submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

7. In light of the fact that the petitioners are behind bars for the last about 6 months and on completion of investigation, final report has been submitted by the police but it will take time for the trial to conclude and

further it appears that now complainant has effected compromise with the accused persons including the petitioners, no gainful purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioners in custody for any longer period.

8. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

21.03.2025
Yogesh

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No