



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.9717 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.02.2025**

Prince Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNSS'*) for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.628 dated 13.10.2024, under Sections 115, 118(1), 351(3), 117(2), 118(2) read with Section 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNS'*) (*Section 191(3) BNS added later on*), registered at Police Station Indri, District Karnal.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused in prosecution of their common object caused grievous injuries to the brothers of *de facto* complainant with sharp edged weapons.

2025:PHHC:027277



3. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 05.11.2024; final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented on 02.01.2025; charges were framed on 08.01.2025 and out of total 12 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far; thus, conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time.
4. *Per contra*, learned State Counsel vehemently opposed the prayer while submitting that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature; hence, he does not deserve the concession of bail pending trial.
5. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.
6. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 05.11.2024; final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C was presented on 02.01.2025 and out of total 12 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date. As the conclusion of trial shall take sufficient long time; therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.
7. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
8. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

2025:PHHC:027277



10. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

25th February, 2025
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>