



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 24.09.2025

1. CWP-1932-2025 (O&M)

Sunita Rani

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

2. CWP-22120-2025 (O&M)

Avtar Singh and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

3. CWP-4515-2025 (O&M)

Bhupinder Singh Sekhon

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

2025:PHHC:133422



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma (Kanav), Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner (in CWP-1932-2025).

Mr. Lovedev Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner (in CWP-22120-2025).

Mr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner (in CWP-4515-2025).

None for respondent No.1 (in all cases).

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3-EPFO (in CWP-1932 & 4515-2025).

Mr. Samir Rathour, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4-EPFO (in CWP-22120-2025).

Service qua respondent No.4 stands dispensed with
vide order dated 05.02.2025 (in CWP-1932-2025).

Ms. Navyuggeet Brar, Advocate
for respondent No.5-Markfed (in CWP-22120-2025).

None for respondent No.4 (in CWP-4515-2025).

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Vide this common judgment, aforementioned three petitions shall be disposed of, as all these petitions have been preferred against the action of the respondents to reduce the pension. It is further prayed to pay the arrears of enhanced pension along with interest.

CM-14261-CWP-2025 in CWP-4515-2025

2. This application has been filed under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for placing on record copy of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-5378-2024 as Annexure P-11.

3. In view of the averments made in the application, same is allowed



and copy of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-5378-2024 is taken on record as Annexure P-11 subject to all just exceptions.

Main case(s)

4. Since CWP-4515-2025 is at the stage of preliminary hearing, it would be apt to issue notice of motion in the said petition.
5. Notice of motion in CWP-4515-2025.
6. At this stage, Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3 and submits that he adopts the reply filed on behalf of the respondent-Employees Provident Fund Organization in CWP-1932-2025.
7. Similarly, Mr. Samir Rathour, Advocate, who is appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 in CWP-22120-2025, also adopts the reply filed in CWP-1932-2025 on behalf of the respondent-EPFO.
8. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the controversy involved in all these petitions has already been settled by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian and others Vs. Union of India and others, CWP-5378-2024***, decided on 12.09.2024. As such, the present petitions are squarely covered by the said judgment.
9. In view of the above, all the aforementioned three petitions are allowed and the respondents are directed to consider claim of the petitioner(s) in the light of judgment rendered by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian's*** case (*supra*) and release all the benefits of enhanced pension, as admissible to them.

2025:PHHC:133422



10. The pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.
11. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

24.09.2025
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No