



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

291

**CRM-M-8887-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.03.2025**

Sanjeev Sharma @ Sanjeev Kumar and others
.....Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Raghav Bali, Advocate
for Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sikandh Mehta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking quashing of FIR No.292 dated 18.09.2019 registered under Sections 420, 408, 34, 467, 468, 471 IPC at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal and the charge-sheet dated 10.02.2020 (Annexure P-3), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 09.10.2024.
2. The following order was passed on 17.02.2025:-
- “XX XX XX XX
- Notice of motion for 18.03.2025.*
- At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of*



respondent No.1 – State and Mr. Sikandh Mehta, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 and files Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 18.03.2025.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.292 dated 18.09.2019 registered under Sections 420, 408, 34, 467, 468, 471 IPC at



Police Station Taraori, District Karnal along with the charge-sheet dated 10.02.2020 (Annexure P-3) and all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

18.03.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No