



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8911-2025

Date of Decision:17.02.2025

Narinder Kumar @ Rajan

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr.L.M Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.235, dated 15.12.2021, under Section 21 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar (Annexure P-1).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was falsely involved in the FIR, which was registered on 15.12.2021 and thereafter, he was ordered to be released on regular bail vide order dated 25.01.2022 (Annexure P-2). Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the Trial Court and never misused the concession of bail, however, he could not appear before the Trial Court on 04.07.2024, due to noting of wrong date. Due to non-appearance of the petitioner, the Trial Court had cancelled the bail and the bail bonds and surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to the State and the petitioner was ordered to be summoned through

non-bailable warrants of arrest. Learned counsel for the petitioner next contends that the petitioner is ready to surrender before the Court and shall join the trial proceedings. He further contends that the petitioner shall appear on each and every date of hearing, before the Trial Court and shall not absent himself during the Court proceedings.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

5. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had not appeared intentionally before the Trial Court and there is no illegality in the impugned order dated 04.07.2024 passed by the Court below and the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. It is not in dispute that that the petitioner was on bail earlier and was regularly appearing before the Trial Court, however, on one date i.e. 04.07.2024, he could not appear before the Trial Court. Thus, taking a lenient view of the matter, the petitioner is permitted to surrender before the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate within a period of two weeks from today and on his surrender, he shall be admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned Court. However, the petitioner shall also deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- as cost, which shall be deposited with ***Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Clerks Association.***

8. At the time of furnishing of bail bonds, the petitioner shall also file

an affidavit before the concerned Court that he shall continue to appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing and shall not absent himself during the court proceedings, except with prior permission of the Court.

9. The Trial Court/Duty Magistrate shall also be at liberty to impose any other conditions, as it deems fit in the peculiar facts and circumstances of case.

10. The petition stands allowed in the above terms.

11. In case, the cost is not deposited, the present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

17.02.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No