



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

269 CRM-M-10235-2023
Decided on : 03.04.2025

Raghveer Singh and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Vishal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 30.08.2022(Annexure P-3), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
21	09.03.2021	420, 120-B IPC	Moonak	Sangrur

2. Vide orders dated 01.04.2024, 04.09.2024 and 18.02.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise. The Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

3. Pursuant to order dated 18.02.2025, learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Moonak submitted its report dated 18.03.2025, wherein it is mentioned that despite granting two effective opportunities,



CRM-M-10235-2023

2

parties failed in getting their statements recorded. For reference, report dated 18.03.2025 is reproduced herebelow:

“ Respected Sir,

With reference to the order dated 01.04.2024, 04.09.2024 and 18.02.2025 in CRM-M-10235-2023 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, on the subject cited above. The Hon’ble High Court had again directed the parties to appear before the Ld. Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements. It is respectfully submitted that the Court had again given two effective opportunities to the parties to appear before the undersigned, but they failed to appear before the Court for recording their statements with regard to the compromise, despite awaiting sufficiently. Therefore, the report is being sent herewith before your goodself, as desired for further necessary action.

Date:18.03.2025

*Yours Faithfully,
Jagmilan Singh Khushdil
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate
Moonak (UID-PB00342).”*

4. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for.

03.04.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**