



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8827-2025
Date of decision: 10.03.2025

VAIBHAV SHARMA AND ANR ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANR ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P. U.T. Chandigarh with
Mr. Shubhkaran Singh, Advocate
for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Naveen S. Attri, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Puri, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
52	30.06.2024	379, 411 of IPC	Sector 19, Chandigarh.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between



CRM-M-8827-2025

2

the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, vide report dated 05.03.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 52 dated 30.06.2024 under Sections 379, 411 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 19, Chandigarh, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

10.03.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |